UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,682	12/14/2005	Wilhelmus Franciscus Johanne Fontijn	NL 030687	8504
	7590 02/06/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		SCHNEE, HAL W		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2186		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,682	FONTIJN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LAMITIME	Art Unit		

	HAL SCHNEE	2186	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date that the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign of the index of th	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a)☑ They raise new issues that would require further con (b)☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ²		cleu ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15-29,31 and 33-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Shane M Thomas/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2186	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The examiner agrees that the amendments to Claims 21 and 26 would overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph of the Final Office Action mailed 25 November 2008.

The amendments to the claims change the scope of the claimed invention, requiring additional search and consideration. Regarding the amendments to Claims 1, 21, and 26, and the applicant's remarks pertaining to these claims, the examiner believes that Puri still teaches the amended limitations. In fig. 4, steps 412, 416, and 418 (showing detail of fig. 3, step 305) and col. 8, line 59 - col. 9, line 24, Puri shows and describes a method of attempting to recognize a file format. In step 412, the file is recognized, regardless of the format. Steps 416 and 418 attempt to determine if the file can be understood. If the filename extension is not recognized as a particular format (Wordperfect) in step 416, the method examines the Windows Registry in step 418 to determine if the filename extension is known. As explained in col. 9, lines 13-24, if the extension is registered as belonging to a different format (i.e. not Wordperfect), the file is ignored. The content of the file is not read or considered in this part of the process; it can therefore be interpreted as recognizing the file without understanding the content of the file, and ignoring the file without making an attempt to interpret the file, as recited by amended Claims 1, 21, and 26.

In addition, the examiner is unable to find suppord for the amended claim limitations in the applicant's original disclosure. Paragraph [0044] of the published application (U.S. 2007/0101050), for example, describes recognizing files on a disk without understanding the file content. However, it is silent on the process involved--it says nothing about ignoring the file without making an attempt to interpret the file. The examiner requests that the applicant point to the portion of the specification that provides support for the amended claim limitations.

/Hal Schnee/ 2 February 2009